

# Holly Stevenson

## Reading Between the Lines



2 October –  
6 November 2021



*Barbara's Milkshake (brings all the boys to the yard), 2021*

Ceramic: Glazed stoneware

30.5 × 22 × 21 cm

Signed unique work, £2,300

All prices exclude VAT



*Bathers*, 2021  
Ceramic: Glazed stoneware  
14 × 16 × 24.5 cm  
Signed unique work, £1,750

All prices exclude VAT



*Goddess of Things*, 2021  
Ceramic: Glazed stoneware  
44 × 23 × 23 cm  
Signed unique work, £3,500

All prices exclude VAT





*Di-Vision*, 2021  
Ceramic: Glazed stoneware  
35 × 18 × 17.5 cm  
Signed unique work, £2,500



*Crazed Cyclops Cries*, 2021  
Ceramic: Glazed stoneware  
24.5 × 15.5 × 20 cm  
Signed unique work, £1,750

All prices exclude VAT



*Love Bomb*, 2021  
 Ceramic: Glazed stoneware  
 28 × 18 × 27 cm  
 Signed unique work, £3,600

Installation of *Love Bomb* with:  
*Milking Stool*, 2021  
 29.5 × 27 × 21 cm  
 and *Cigars*, 2021  
 Both ceramic: Glazed stoneware  
 Size variable installation  
 £5,000

All prices exclude VAT



*Manu Fica*, 2021  
Ceramic: Glazed stoneware  
4 × 18.5 × 19 cm  
Signed unique work, £3,600

All prices exclude VAT





*Necessities n' Accessories*, 2021

Ceramic: Glazed stoneware

28 × 17 × 12 cm

Signed unique work, £2,500

*All prices exclude VAT*



*Rise and Shine*, 2021  
Ceramic: Glazed stoneware  
26.5 × 27 × 20 cm  
Signed unique work, £3,000

All prices exclude VAT



*Sprouter*, 2021  
Ceramic: Glazed stoneware  
43 × 31 × 24 cm  
Signed unique work, £5,500

All prices exclude VAT



*We ride in our hides, 2021*  
Ceramic: Glazed stoneware  
34 × 21 × 19 cm  
Signed unique work, £2,600

All prices exclude VAT



*Woodcutter*, 2021  
Ceramic: Glazed stoneware  
34.5 × 19 × 14.5 cm  
Signed unique work, £2,200

*All prices exclude VAT*



Reading Between the Lines  
Holly Stevenson

Private view  
1 October 2021

2 October –  
6 November 2021

Holly Stevenson (b. Norfolk, UK) makes fluid ceramic forms that explore Sigmund Freud's ubiquitous ashtray and last cigar as an analytical metaphor. Her sculptural 'pots' embody the ashtray and cigar, as though they were two gendered male and female forms, as the artist reconfigures them into a clay language of her own.

She graduated from Chelsea College of Art and Design Fine Art MA in 2011 with the generous help of the Stanley Picker Foundation. Her graduate degree show featured in The Creative Cities Collection, Beijing and The Catlin Guide. She was awarded the MFI Flat Time House Graduate Award, supported by the John Latham Foundation and has held a Guest Fellowship at UAL. Recently she was selected for the Mother Art Prize 2020, Cromwell Place, awarded a New Commission by Procreate Project funded by the Arts Council England and has shown work at Art Basel OVR | Portals. Holly Stevenson lives and works in London.

Notwithstanding the famous psychoanalytic caution—apocryphally attributed to Sigmund Freud—that a cigar is sometimes just a cigar, the phallic connotations of cigarettes, cigars, and pipes have long been construed since the 1920s. It's tempting to imagine, though, that Freud might have warned against possible hermeneutic overreach: not only because misinterpretations risk denting the putative scientificity of psychoanalysis, but also because sitting there in his office in Vienna and later in London, the notoriously inveterate smoker Freud might have found any supposition that the cigar in his mouth was a displaced penis rather near the knuckle. However, if he did, for a moment, consider the possibility of the cigar as a phallic object, he might have paused for a second or two when he noticed his favourite ashtray into which he stubbed-out his cigars and hence speculated upon the psychoanalytical resonances that followed.

Such a scenario comprises the groundwork for Holly Stevenson's ceramic sculptures. Taking as a basis Freud's much-used ashtray and the cigars residing there, she recodes or unveils them as effectively phallic and vulvic symbols. Bathers is a good introduction to Stevenson's procedures insofar as the ashtray is eminently recognizable as ashtray while the cigars visibly transmute into grotesque small heads with tongues as penises. Phallic imagery, however, is largely disempowered in these works: the multitude of cigarettes are redolent of broken, discarded penises—*dissecta membra*—which, of course, is what they are: each piece of ash tapped into the ashtray testifies to phallic diminishment. By contrast, the ashtray elements appear correspondingly "whole," thereby troubling the customary psychoanalytic theorization of woman as castrated, defined by "lack." Love Bomb particularly embodies—the verb is pertinent—a strikingly feminine virility, even if speaking in terms of a strict opposition between male and female is made problematic by these works.

Of all the works exhibited, Freud would have immediately recognized *Manu Fica* and *Woodcutter* and perhaps even viewed them jointly as an interpretative skeleton key. Atop the first of these is a flesh-coloured hand; protruding through its index and third fingers is a vastly elongated and thoroughly phallic thumb. This gesture is called "manu fica" (hence the work's title) meaning "fig in hand" but also possesses more obscene resonances, functioning as a symbol like "up yours" and colloquially as "hand in vagina." While the gesture remains in use today, with varying meanings, erotic and playful, its origins date back at least two thousand years. The Romans, for example, made sculptural versions of it, utilizing the symbol's obscene characteristics in order to ward off the evil eye. Stevenson's own rendering exemplifies the erotic denotations and connotations through comic exaggeration.

In his collection, Freud owned a Roman amulet based on or resembling the *manu fica* symbol (reference 3392 in the Freud collection). Freud's own interest in this object corresponded with his regard for Richard Payne Knight's 1786 book *A Discourse on the Worship of Priapus* that charted the history of phallic worship that had a supposed outcome—actually, a misinterpretation according to Knight—in an unusual

Catholic ritual involving wax penis votives being offered by women in supplication. Knight's book derived, in part, from research conducted by the British ambassador William Hamilton, who had collected five of these votives in 1781 and was similarly struck by the manu fica amulets worn by women in Naples. Freud refers to Knight's book in his infamous essay on Leonard da Vinci and the amulet he collected is the model for the similarly flesh-coloured phallus and ring that comprises the top-most section of Stevenson's Woodcutter.

The point is not about conferring value upon Stevenson's work via any legitimacy proffered by ancient lineages. On the contrary, what is crucial here is that rather than backward looking, Manu Fica and the other works propels the past forward as part of the present's accretions, thereby scrambling all temporal coordinates. Although Freud is popularly known as the great thinker of the psychosexual determinants of the human mind, actually underpinning his reflections was a persistent endeavour to grasp the productive effects of an incessantly displacing set of relations amid past, present, and future—memory and fantasy—both at the level of the individual and intergenerationally; that is to say, in Freud's parlance, ontogenetically and phylogenetically. Studying and collecting antiquities, changing his office into his own personal cabinet of curiosities, served as a foundation for envisaging the phylogenetic conditions of subjecthood.

Ontogeny and phylogeny are thus combined in Stevenson's work: ancient phallic symbolism woven with Stevenson's own personal memories; the striped patterns abundantly present throughout the sculptures derive from recollections of her childhood bedsheets. For Freud, as well as for Carl Jung, the particular and the universal polarity evident here need not be comprehended as an absolute binary opposition. Our local circumstances are always plugged into, and intimate with, what transcends the self in the here and now in such a way that the particular is incorporated into the universal rather than positioned as its antithesis. A result following from this cast of mind is also the deliberate confusion between gender differences at the level of symbolic construction. Penises and vulvic forms interpenetrate on a single vessel, sometimes ambiguously but always playfully. Woodcutter is possibly the most overtly bigendered instance here. Corollary to this, such interpenetration generates a multiplicity of physiological couplings: mouths becoming vulvas, teeth suggesting vagina dentata, eyes protruding between red lips, penises pushing out from inside mouths. Given the categorical unfixity happening, it is only too appropriate that Stevenson deploys clay and glaze, mediums defined by malleability and fluidity. Sure, the kiln firings will halt that malleability and fluidity, but Stevenson's work halts them at the pinnacle of unfixity.

We can imagine, then, Stevenson's works occupying spaces in Freud's office or that office transferred into and as Sid Motion's gallery. Not entirely comfortably, to be sure, insofar as Freud's aesthetics tastes mostly did not extend to the art contemporaneous with him. He generally suspected Surrealism, for example, of having little truck with his notion of the unconscious despite its professions. But Freud might likely also have been unsettled by the overturning of gender hierarchies. Yet such discomfiture results from an implicit recognition that such possibilities were already unconsciously conceived by him, as if Stevenson's ceramic pieces were subjecting Freud to psychoanalysis. It was on a similar basis that many feminists sought to radicalize Freud's insights rather than marginalize them. Stevenson, in that respect, with her contemporary grotesques, delightfully contributes a further way to read Freud between the lines.